

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/674,575 | 09/29/2003 | David W. Morris | CHIR0063-100 (PP23355.000 | 5621 | |
| 7590 04/25/2008 Lisa E. Alexander | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| Sagres Discovery, Inc. | | | HIBBERT, CATHERINE 8 | | |
| c/o Chiron Corporation P.O. Box 8097 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Emeryville, CA 94662-8097 | | | 1636 | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/25/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Abandonment Application No. Applicant(s) 10/674,575 MORRIS ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Catherine S. Hilbbert 1636

| | Catherine S. Hibbert | 1636 | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to | the final rejection | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | | |
| c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | | the statutory period | d of three months | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was , which is after the expiration of the statutory po- Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the No | otice of | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for see | eking court review | | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| Attorney Gwilym J. O. Attwell informed the office in been sent and that the case has been let to abando | | 2008 that a resp | onse had not | | | |
| | /Daniel M Sullivan/ Primary Examiner, Art Uni | t 1636 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

US Peter and Teachman Office